

### And Other Recent Guidance Changes

- Most of These are Summarized in Part B lite changes
- New Version, February 08,
- Same URL As Old Version:
- http://www.nature.nps.gov/water/Vital \_Signs\_Guidance/Guidance\_Document s/PartBLite.pdf

#### **Need To Re-Randomize?**

- How Often?
- When the Target Population Changes
- When the Sample Frame Changes
- At Least Every 15 Years?
- More Often If Logical
- EMAP Protocols Not Designed for Long Term Monitoring

## Minimum Detectable Differences

- New More Detailed Guidance in Revised Part B lite.
- Make the Calculations
- If the Result is Not Acceptable
- Change Something (Strata, Extent of Target Population, Extent of Inference

### Rethink Detectable Difference Goals for Trends

- 50% Change In Means in One Year?
- 40% Change In Means in One Year (Supt. Liked It Better)
- Or 20% Change in 5 years, or...
- Ask the Supt. And RM Staff What Would Be Acceptable

#### Part B Lite:

- WRD Guidance for Protocols and SOPs
- Revised 2008, Contains MDD Guidance
- Irwin, R.J. 2008. Draft Part B lite (Just the Basics) QA/QC Review Checklist for Aquatic Vital Sign Monitoring Protocols and SOPs, National Park Service, Water Resources Division. Fort Collins, Colorado, distributed on Internet only)
- http://www.nature.nps.gov/water/Vital\_Signs\_Guidance/Guidance\_Documents/PartBLite.pdf

# As You Get Closer to Finalizing Protocols

- Consult with a Professional Statistician
- That Person Can Fine Tune Your Initial Estimates with Simulation and other Techniques
- But Continue to Look From Various Angles, and Refine Estimates in an Adaptive Manner